Association of Metabolic Tumor Volume and Clinical Outcomes in Second-Line Relapsed/Refractory Large B-Cell Lymphoma Following Axicabtagene Ciloleucel **Versus Standard-of-Care Therapy in ZUMA-7**

Frederick L. Locke, MD¹; Olalekan O. Oluwole, MBBS, MPH²; John Kuruvilla, MD, FRCPC³; Catherine Thieblemont, MD, PhD⁴; Franck Morschhauser, MD, PhD⁵; Gilles Salles, MD, PhD⁶; Steven P. Rowe, MD, PhD⁷; Saran Vardhanabhuti, PhD⁸; Simone Filosto, PhD⁸; Christina To, MD⁸; Paul Cheng, MD, PhD^{8,†}; Marco Schupp, MD⁸; Ronald Korn, MD, PhD⁹; and Marie José Kersten, MD, PhD¹⁰

¹Moffitt Cancer Center, Tampa, FL, USA; ²Vanderbilt University Cancer Center, Nashville, TN, USA; ³Princess Margaret Cancer Centre, University of Paris, Hôpital Saint-Louis, Hemato-Oncology, DMU DHI, Paris, France; ⁵University of Lille, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Lille, Lille, France; ⁶Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA; ⁷Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA; ⁸Kite, a Gilead Company, Santa Monica, CA, USA; ⁹Imaging Endpoints, Scottsdale, AZ, USA; and ¹⁰Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands, on behalf of HOVON/LLPC

BACKGROUND

- Axicabtagene ciloleucel (axi-cel) is a CD19-directed genetically modified autologous T-cell immunotherapy with a CD28 co-stimulatory domain that provides rapid and strong expansion and reprograms T cells to trigger target-specific cytotoxicity of cancer cells^{1,2}
- In the Phase 3 randomized ZUMA-7 (NCT03391466) study, axi-cel showed superiority to standard of care (SOC) across common prognostic subgroups, including high tumor burden (TB), as calculated by the sum of product diameters (SPD), and elevated lactate dehydrogenase (LDH)³
- SPD is based on ≤ 6 target lesions only^{3,4} and does not account for non-measured lesions or metabolic activity
- TB can also be measured by metabolic tumor volume (MTV) using fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) positron emission tomography (PET)-computed tomography (CT) scans
 - Though it is not a standardized clinical assessment, MTV has previously been shown to correlate with clinical outcomes of chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T-cell therapy in third-line relapsed/refractory (R/R) large B-cell lymphoma (LBCL)^{5,6}

METHODS

- In the multicenter Phase 3 ZUMA-7 study, eligible patients were randomized 1:1 to axi-cel or SOC - Full ZUMA-7 study details, including primary results, were previously reported⁷
- MTV was obtained from the attenuation-corrected whole-body FDG PET scans performed at screening
- Whole tumor volumes of interest were placed on tumors using a predefined, semiautomated approach
- Semiautomated approach included semiautomated placement of outlines around regions of abnormal FDG uptake greater than normal liver (visual Deauville score >3) followed by manual adjustments of the lesion contours by the PET radiologist to ensure the inclusion of the entire tumor lesion(s) and/or exclude non-tumorous/normal tissue regions

OBJECTIVE

• To present clinical outcomes for patients in ZUMA-7 by MTV

RESULTS

Table 1. Baseline MTV by Treatment Arm and Characteristics

Treatment Arm or Characteristic	n/Nª	Median MTV (range), mL
Treatment arm Axi-Cel SOC	176/180 165/179	228.10 (2.3-16,669.3) 231.90 (0.04-2811.2)
Age <65 years ≥65 years	236/250 105/109	255.21 (0.04-16,669.3) 176.71 (6.8-4101.8)
Molecular subgroup, per central laboratory GCB Non-GCB	203/208 53/56	228.66 (3.5-16,669.3) 242.60 (6.9-5488.5)
Disease type, per central laboratory HGBL Non-HGBL	54/57 252/256	307.71 (8.5-6823.5) 228.03 (0.04-16,669.3)
LDH♭ Elevated Normal	185/195 156/164	371.17 (2.3-16,669.3) 123.92 (0.04-3712.8)
Response to 1L therapy at randomization Primary refractory Relapse ≤12 mo after initiation or completion of 1L therapy	252/265 88/92	236.88 (0.04-16,669.3) 214.85 (3.6-5317.7)
CD19 H-score ^c ≤median >median	150/152 146/151	241.31 (0.04-16,669.3) 229.72 (2.3-13,527.0)
CD19 IHC ^d		

- Subsequent radiology-defined adjustments of volumes of interest placement were conducted
- MTV was calculated as the number of voxels with standardized uptake value (SUV) measurements between 41%-100% of tumor SUV_{max} and reported as MTV_{total} (mL) per patient
- Associations between MTV and baseline characteristics or clinical outcomes were assessed descriptively (*P*<.05 was considered significant)

Figure 2. MTV by Response and Treatment Arm



Axi-cel, axicabtagene ciloleucel; CR, complete response; MTV, metabolic tumor volume; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease; SOC, standard of care.

- In the axi-cel arm, median MTV was similar between responders (complete response [CR] + partial response [PR]) and nonresponders (stable disease [SD] + progressive disease [PD]; Figure 2)
- MTV was lower in patients treated with axi-cel who were in ongoing response compared with others (progression after response + nonresponders)
- MTV trended lower in patients with CR compared with others (PR, SD, and PD; data not shown) - Notably, by logistic regression, a negative association was observed between CR and MTV in both axi-cel and SOC arms

Positive	271/278	237.37 (0.04-13,527.0)
Negative	25/25	248.89 (3.6-16,669.3)

^a n was defined as the number of patients with available MTV data; N was defined as the number of patients in the baseline characteristic cohort.

[▶] LDH was quantified at each local clinical laboratory and reported as elevated (≥reference range) or normal (<reference range). ^c H-score was calculated by the percentage of positive tumor cells (0-100) multiplied by the stain intensity (1-3). Median CD19 H-score was 150.

^d CD19 IHC was measured using a validated assay at NeoGenomics.

1L, first-line; axi-cel, axicabtagene ciloleucel; GCB, germinal center B-cell-like; HGBL, high-grade B-cell lymphoma; IHC, immunohistochemistry; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; mo, month; MTV, metabolic tumor volume; SOC. standard of care.

- Overall median MTV was 230.24 mL (range, 0.04-16,669.3)
- Median MTV was similar across treatment arms (Table 1)
- MTV was positively correlated with SPD (Spearman correlation=0.5232) and LDH (Spearman correlation=0.4516)

Figure 1. EFS and PFS by MTV and Treatment Arm



	PFS		
% 100 -			HR (95% CI)
		High MTV (Axi-Cel vs SOC)	0.523 (0.357-0.765)

Figure 3. Association of MTV With Toxicity in the Axi-Cel Arm



Axi-cel, axicabtagene ciloleucel; CRS, cytokine release syndrome; MTV, metabolic tumor volume.

- In the axi-cel arm, median MTV was higher in patients who experienced Grade ≥3 neurologic events or cytokine release syndrome (CRS) compared with patients who experienced Grade 1-2 or no neurologic events or CRS, respectively (Figure 3)
- No associations of MTV and safety were observed for the SOC arm

CONCLUSIONS

- To our knowledge, this analysis is the first to examine the relation between MTV and clinical outcome in a large, randomized, prospective R/R LBCL study of CAR T-cell therapy
- Similar to previous analysis with SPD- and LDH-based subgroups,³ axi-cel was superior to SOC for both high and low MTV groups
- While TB per SPD did not seem to impact axi-cel outcomes in ZUMA-7,³ low MTV was



Axi-cel, axicabtagene ciloleucel; EFS, event-free survival; HR, hazard ratio; MTV, metabolic tumor volume; PFS, progression-free survival; SOC, standard of care

- Axi-cel event-free survival (EFS) and progression-free survival (PFS) were superior to SOC for both low (<median) and high (>median) MTV (**Figure 1**)
- Axi-cel EFS trended shorter in patients with high MTV and EFS was shorter in SOC patients with high MTV
- PFS was shorter in both axi-cel and SOC patients with high MTV compared with low MTV

associated with improved outcomes with axi-cel versus high MTV, and rates of Grade ≥ 3 neurologic events and CRS were associated with higher MTV

• These findings suggest that MTV may be a better prognostic marker than SPD

REFERENCES

- 1. YESCARTA[®] (axicabtagene ciloleucel) Prescribing information. Kite Pharma, Inc; 2022.
- 2. Savoldo B, et al. J Clin Invest. 2011;121:1822-1826.
- Locke FL, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2022;40(16_suppl):7565.
- 4. Cheson BD, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2007;25:579-586. 5. Hong R, et al. Front Oncol. 2021;11:713577.
- Dean EA, et al. Blood Adv. 2020;4:3268-3276.
- 7. Locke FL, et al. N Engl J Med. 2022;386:640-654.
- 8. Locke FL, et al. ASH 2022. Abstract 259.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

- The patients, families, friends, and caregivers
- The entire ZUMA-7 study team of investigators, coordinators, and health care staff at each study site
- Marc Gregory of Imaging Endpoints for assistance with FDG PET-CT scans
- All employees of Kite, a Gilead Company, involved over the course of the study for their contributions
- Medical writing support was provided by Ashley Skorusa, PhD, of Nexus Global Group Science LLC, funded by Kite, a Gilead Company
- [†]Current affiliation: US Department of Veterans Affairs; Dr. Cheng was an employee of Kite when the studies reported here were conducted
- These data were previously presented at the 2022 Annual Meeting of the American Society of Hematology⁸

DISCLOSURES

FLL: consulting/advisory role for Allogene, Amgen, bluebird bio, Bristol Myers Squibb, Celgene, Calibr, Cellular Biomedicine Group, Cowen, ecoR1, Emerging Therapy Solutions, Gerson Lehman Group, GammaDelta Therapeutics, Iovance, Janssen, Kite, a Gilead Company, Legend Biotech, Novartis, Umoja, and Wugen; research funding from Allogene, Kite, a Gilead Company, and Novartis; and patents, royalties, other intellectual property from several patents held by the institution in my name (unlicensed) in the field of cellular immunotherapy

Full author disclosures are available through the Quick Response (QR) code

Copies of this presentation obtained through QR code are for personal use only and may not be reproduced without permission from the author of this poster.



Presented at the 2023 Annual Meeting of the European Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation