# Axicabtagene Ciloleucel (Axi-Cel) Versus Standard of Care (SOC) in Patients With Primary Refractory or Early Relapsed Large B-Cell Lymphoma (LBCL)

Jason R. Westin, MD, MS, FACP<sup>1</sup>; Olalekan O. Oluwole, MD, MBBS, MPH<sup>2</sup>; Marie José Kersten, MD, PhD<sup>3</sup>; Caron A. Jacobson, MD, MMSc<sup>9</sup>; Umar Farooq, MD<sup>10</sup>; Matthew L. Ulrickson, MD<sup>11</sup>; Tom van Meerten, MD, PhD<sup>12</sup>; Mahmoud Elsawy, MD, MS<sup>18</sup>; Sridhar Chaganti, MD, PhD<sup>14</sup>; Michael Dickinson, MBBS, DMSc, FRACP, FRCPA<sup>15</sup>; Lori A. Leslie, MD<sup>18</sup>; Simone Filosto, PhD<sup>18</sup>; Justin Budka, PhD<sup>18</sup>; Saran Vardhanabhuti, PhD<sup>18</sup>; and Frederick L. Locke, MD<sup>19</sup>

<sup>8</sup>Institut Català d'Oncologia-Hospitalet, Barcelona, Spain; <sup>9</sup>Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA, USA; <sup>10</sup>University and QEII Health Sciences Center, Gilbert, AZ, USA; <sup>10</sup>University and Review Cancer Center, Gilbert, AZ, USA; <sup>10</sup>University and Review Cancer Center, Birmingham, UK; and Review Cancer Center, Gilbert, AZ, USA; <sup>10</sup>University and Review Cancer Center, Gilbert, AZ, USA <sup>15</sup>Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Royal Melbourne, Victoria, Australia; <sup>16</sup>John Theurer Cancer Center, Hackensack, NJ, USA; <sup>17</sup>University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia; <sup>16</sup>John Theurer Cancer Center, Tampa, FL, USA

# BACKGROUND

- Historically, patients with primary refractory large B-cell lymphoma (LBCL) or those who relapse  $\leq$ 12 months after first-line (1L) chemoimmunotherapy have had poor outcomes<sup>1-3</sup>
- Axicabtagene ciloleucel (axi-cel) is an autologous anti-CD19 chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T-cell therapy that is approved in many countries for relapsed or refractory (R/R) LBCL<sup>4,5</sup>
- Axi-cel has demonstrated curative potential in the second-line ≤12 months after 1L therapy (2L; ZUMA-7; NCT03391466) and third-line or later line settings (ZUMA-1; NCT02348216)<sup>6,7</sup>
- In the Phase 3 ZUMA-7 study (NCT03391466), axi-cel demonstrated superior event-free survival (EFS), response rate, and overall survival (OS) versus standard of care (SOC) in patients with R/R LBCL intended for transplant<sup>7,8</sup>
- At a median follow-up of 47.2 months, 54% and 47% of patients in the axi-cel and SOC treatment arms were alive, respectively<sup>7</sup>
- There remains a need to better understand the efficacy and safety outcomes in patients with early relapsed or primary refractory disease receiving axi-cel versus SOC
- It remains unclear what biological characteristics lead to primary refractoriness

# **OBJECTIVES**

- To determine survival benefit of axi-cel versus SOC in the prespecified patient groups of primary refractory versus relapsed disease ≤12 months of 1L therapy in ZUMA-7
- To investigate tumor microenvironment differences between primary refractory and early relapsed disease

# **METHODS**

- In ZUMA-7, eligible patients were randomized 1:1 to axi-cel or SOC (chemotherapy followed by high-dose chemotherapy with autologous stem cell transplantation in responding patients) and stratified by 1L therapy response and second-line age-adjusted International Prognostic Index (sAAIPI)
- A prespecified subgroup analysis was conducted in patients with primary refractory disease (no complete response to 1L treatment) and early relapsed disease (relapse  $\leq 12$  months of 1L therapy) - Data cutoff was January 25, 2023
- Descriptive statistics summarized baseline patient and disease characteristics, efficacy outcomes, and safety outcomes
- Kaplan–Meier method was used to assess time-to-event outcomes, including OS, EFS per investigator, and progression-free survival (PFS) per investigator
- Estimated hazard ratios (HRs) and 2-sided 95% CIs for axi-cel versus SOC were calculated from stratified Cox regression models
- Preinfusion tumor biopsies were collected and used to assess levels of gene expression signatures and immune cell infiltration by Nanostring IO-360<sup>™</sup>, RNAseq, and multiplex immunohistochemistry

### RESULTS

### Table 1. Baseline Patient and Disease Characteristics

	Prir	Primary Refractory			Early Relapsed		
Characteristic	Axi-Cel n=133	SOC n=131	Overall n=264	Axi-Cel n=47	SOC n=48	Overall n=95	
Median age, years (IQR)	58 (51-65)	58 (49-67)	58 (50-66)	58 (52-67)	62 (49.5-67.5)	61 (52-67)	
≥65	37 (28)	39 (30)	76 (29)	14 (30)	19 (40)	33 (35)	
Male sex, n (%)	80 (60)	97 (74)	177 (67)	30 (64)	30 (63)	60 (63)	
Disease stage III-IV, n (%)	102 (77)	105 (80)	207 (78)	37 (79)	41 (85)	78 (82)	
Derived sAAIPI total score of 2, n (%)	68 (51)	61 (47)	129 (49)	18 (38)	18 (38)	36 (38)	
Disease type per investigator, n (%)							
DLBCL not otherwise specified	77 (58)	84 (64)	161 (61)	33 (70)	32 (67)	65 (68)	
HGBL with or without <i>MYC</i> and <i>BCL2</i> and/or <i>BCL6</i> rearrangement	34 (26)	22 (17)	56 (21)	9 (19)	5 (10)	14 (15)	
TFL	14 (11)	17 (13)	31 (12)	5 (11)	10 (21)	15 (16)	
T-cell/histiocyte-rich LBCL	5 (4)	5 (4)	10 (4)	0	1 (2)	1 (1)	
Other	3 (2)	3 (2)	6 (2)	0	0	0	
Elevated LDH levels preinfusion, n (%) <sup>a</sup>	80 (60)	74 (56)	154 (58)	21 (45)	20 (42)	41 (43)	

Defined as LDH greater than upper limit of normal per local laboratory reference range Axi-cel, axicabtagene ciloleucel; BCL, B-cell lymphoma; DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; HGBL, high-grade B-cell lymphoma IQR, interquartile range; LBCL, large B-cell lymphoma; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; sAAIPI, second-line age-adjusted International Prognostic Index; SOC, standard of care; TFL, transformed follicular lymphoma

 Baseline characteristics for subgroups of patients with primary refractory or early relapsed LBCL were generally balanced between arms and similar among patients within each arm (**Table 1**)

- Patients with primary refractory LBCL had elevated sAAIPI, higher frequency of high-grade B-cell lymphoma, and higher lactate dehydrogenase levels compared with patients with early relapsed LBCL





No. at Risk Axi-cel

No. at Risk

# **RESULTS** (Continued)

Figure 1. EFS in Patients With Primary Refractory and Early Relapsed LBCL Who **Received Axi-Cel vs SOC** 

• EFS was improved with axi-cel vs SOC in primary refractory (HR, 0.448; 95% CI, 0.335-0.598) and in early relapsed LBCL (HR, 0.394; 95% CI, 0.236-0.655; Figure 1)

### Figure 2. PFS in Patients With Primary Refractory and Early Relapsed LBCL Who **Received Axi-Cel vs SOC**



• PFS was improved with axi-cel versus SOC in primary refractory (HR, 0.528; 95% CI, 0.384-0.725) and in early relapsed LBCL (HR, 0.496; 95% Cl, 0.286-0.861; Figure 2)

Figure 3. OS in Patients With Primary Refractory and Early Relapsed LBCL Who **Received Axi-Cel vs SOC** 



LBCL in either arm of the study • Globally, patients who received axi-cel had longer EFS, PFS, and OS compared with those who received SOC,

regardless of early relapsed or primary refractory disease

Charact Any TE Grade Anv CR Anv nei Grade

Throm Searched by system organ class

Safety profiles for patients with primary refractory or early relapsed LBCL were comparable within both arms of the study (**Table 2**)





• Significantly higher infiltration of CD4 and CD8 T cells (*P*<.05; Figures 4 and 5) was observed in patients with early relapsed versus primary refractory LBCL

### Table 2. Key Safety Data

	Primary F	Refractory	Early Relapsed			
teristic, n (%)	Axi-Cel n=123	SOC n=123	Axi-Cel n=47	SOC n=45		
AE	123 (100)	123 (100)	47 (100)	45 (100)		
≥3 TEAE	112 (91)	99 (80)	43 (91)	41 (91)		
S	112 (91)	-	45 (96)	-		
≥3 CRS	8 (7)	-	3 (6)	-		
urologic events <sup>a</sup>	98 (80)	72 (59)	40 (85)	32 (71)		
≥3 neurologic events	31 (25)	12 (10)	13 (28)	5 (11)		
nal AEs of interest						
openia	59 (48)	19 (15)	16 (34)	10 (22)		
bocytopenia	13 (11)	28 (23)	9 (19)	13 (29)		

AE, adverse event; axi-cel, axicabtagene ciloleucel; CRS, cytokine release syndrome; SOC, standard of care; TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event.

### Figure 4. Immune Cell Population Densities in Patients With **Primary Refractory vs Early Relapsed LBCL<sup>a</sup>**

Median Ratio (Log2)

### Figure 5. CD4 and CD8 T-Cell Frequencies in Baseline Tumor in Patients With Primary Refractory vs Early Relapsed LBCL<sup>a</sup>



<sup>b</sup> Dashed red line indicates the Bonferroni-corrected significance threshold; gray line indicates the nominal significance threshold. <sup>c</sup> Assessed by GO analysis GO, gene ontology; LBCL, large B-cell lymphoma; WebC SEA, Web-based Cell-type-Specific Enrichment Analysis.

- LBCL (Figure 6)

# CONCLUSIONS

- refractory disease
- group compared with the primary refractory group
- to CAR T-cell therapy
- LBCL for axi-cel as a preferred 2L SOC
- limitations inherent to such analysis types

## DISCLOSURES

IRW: consulting/advisory role for Bristol Myers Squibb, Genentech, and Kite, a Gilead Company; and research funding from ADC Therapeutics, AstraZeneca, Bristol Myers Squibb, Calithera, Genentech, Kite, Kymera, MorphoSys/Incyte, and Novartis. OOO: honoraria from Gilead Sciences and Pfizer; consulting/advisor role for AbbVie, ADC, Bioheng, Cargo, Caribou Biosciences, Epizyme, Gilead Sciences, Kite, a Gilead Company, Nektar, Novartis, Pfizer, and TGR; speakers' bureau participation for ADC and Kite; and research funding from Allogene, Daiichi Sankyo, Kite, and Pfizer. MJK: honoraria from and consulting/advisory role for Institutional), Novartis (Institutional), bluebird bio (Institutional), 2SeventyBio (Institutional), National Cancer Institute (R01CA244328 MPI: Locke; P30CA076292 PI: Cleveland), Leukemia and Lymphoma Society Scholar in Clinical Research (PI: Locke); patents,

oyalties, or other intellectual property from Moffitt Cancer Center; and travel support from ASH and Kite.

• Upregulation of hypoxia and glycolytic activity and lower T-cell infiltration was observed in patients with primary refractory LBCL compared with early relapsed

- Enrichment of macrophages/monocytes, fibroblasts, myeloid cells, and stromal cells was observed in patients with primary refractory LBCL - More lymphoid cell infiltration was observed in patients with early relapsed LBCL

 Overall, EFS, PFS, and OS were markedly improved in patients who received axi-cel versus SOC in ZUMA-7, regardless of early relapse or primary

• The magnitude of improvement was numerically greater in the early relapsed

• Based on results herein, patients with lower levels of T-cell infiltration may be more likely to fail 1L chemoimmunotherapy and should be monitored closely for referral

• These findings support prompt referral of patients with either relapsed or refractory

• The analysis of tumor microenvironment was a post hoc analysis and carries the

### REFERENCES

- 1. Gisselbrecht C, et al. *J Clin Oncol*. 2010;28:4184-4190. 2. van Imhoff GW, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2017;35:544-551
- 3. Van Den Neste E, et al. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2016;51:51-57.
- 4. YESCARTA<sup>®</sup> (axicabtagene ciloleucel) Prescribing information. Kite Pharma, Inc; 2024.
- 5. YESCARTA<sup>®</sup> (axicabtagene ciloleucel) [summary of product characteristics]. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Kite Pharma EU B.V.; 2024.
- 6. Neelapu SS, et al. *Blood*. 2023;141:2307-2315. 7. Westin JR, et al. N Engl J Med. 2023;389:148-157.
- 8. Locke FL, et al. *N Engl J Med*. 2022;386:640-654.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

- Medical writing support was provided by Christine N. Morrison, PhD, of Nexus Global Group, funded by Kite
- <sup>a</sup> Current affiliation: BeiGene USA, Inc.; Dr. To was an employee of Kite when the studies were conducted

Adicet Bio, Bristol Myers Squibb/Celgene, Kite, a Gilead Company, Miltenyi Biotec, Novartis, and Roche; research funding from Kite (to institution); and travel support from Kite, Miltenyi Biotech, Novartis, and Roche. DBM: honoraria from Fosun Kite Biotechnology; consulting/advisory role for Bristol Myers Squibb, Galapagos Ailtenyi Biotec, and Novartis; research funding from Adaptive Biotechnologies, Adicet, Allogene, Kite, a Gilead Company, and travel support from Kite. M-AP: honoraria from AbbVie, Astellas, Celgene, Bristol Myers Squibb, Incyte, Karyopharm, Gilead Sciences, Kite, a Gilead Company, Miltenyi Biotec, MorphoSys, Novartis, Nektar Therapeutics, and Takeda; consulting/advisory role for Merck and Omeros; research funding (clinical trial) from Incyte, Gilead Sciences/Kite, Miltenyi Biotec, and Novartis; and member of data safety monitoring board for Cidara Therapeutics, Medigene, and Servier. AG: honoraria from Kite, a Gilead Company; consulting/advisory role for Amgen, Genentech, and Kite. APR: no relevant financial relationships to disclose. AS: honoraria rom AbbVie, Bristol Myers Squibb/Celgene, Genmab, Janssen, Kite, a Gilead Company, Novartis, MSD, and Pierre FabreTakeda; Speakers' bureau participation for and research funding from Takeda; and travel support from Kite and Takeda. CAJ: consulting/advisory role for AbbVie, Abintus Bio, ADC Therapeutics, Bristol Myers Squibb/Celgene, Caribou Biosciences, Daiichi Sankyo, ImmPACT Bio, Instil Bio, Ipsen, Kite, a Gilead Company, Miltenyi Biotec, MorphoSys, Novartis, and Synthekine; and research funding from Kite and Pfizer. UF: honoraria from ImmPACT Bio, and Kite, a Gilead Company; consulting/advisory role for Autolus, Stemline Therapeutics, Bristol Myers Squibb, and ADC Therapeutics. TvM: honoraria from Kite, a Gilead Company; consulting/advisory role for Eli Lilly and Company; and research funding from Bristol Myers Squibb and Siemens. **ME:** honoraria from and consulting/advisory role for Bristol Myers Squibb, Kite, a Gilead Company, Janssen, Novartis, and Pfizer. **SC:** honoraria from and consulting/advisory role with AbbVie, Adicet io, Atara Biotherapeutics, Incyte, Kite, a Gilead Company, Orion Pharma, Roche, and Takeda; research funding from Atara Biotherapeutics, Autolus, Genmab, Janssen, Kite, Novartis, and MorphoSys; and Travel support from Kite and Takeda. D: honoraria from, consulting/advisory role for and speakers' bureau participation for Gilead Sciences, MSD, Novartis, and Roche; and research funding from Novartis, and Roche. LAL: consulting/advisory role for AbbVie, Genmab, AstraZeneca, ADC Therapeutics, BeiGene, Eli Lilly and Company, Epizyme, Janssen/Johnson & Johnson, Kite, a Gilead Company, Merck, Pharmacyclics, and Seagen; speakers' bureau participation for AbbVie, Genmab, AstraZeneca, BeiGene, Eli Lilly and Company, Epizyme, Kite, Janssen, Pharmacyclics, Seagen, and TG Therapeutics. PV: consulting/advisory role for Gilead, Pfizer, Novartis; honoraria from Gilead and Novartis; research funding from Johnson & Johnson; and travel support from Kite, a Gilead Company. VY: employment with Kite, a Gilead Company. CT: former employment with Kite, a Gilead Company. Gilead Company; stock or ownership in Gilead Sciences; and patents, royalties, and other intellectual propert from, and stock or other ownership in Kite, a Gilead Company. SV: employment with and research funding from Kite, a Gilead Company; and stock or other ownership in Gilead Sciences. FLL: honoraria from Aptitude Health, ASH, BioPharma, Communications CARE Education, Clinical Care Options Oncology, iMedX, Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer; consulting/advisory role for A2, Allogene, Amgen, bluebird bio, BMS, Calibr, Caribou Biosciences, Cowen, EcoR1, Gerson Lehrman Group (GLG), Iovance, Kite, a Gilead Company, Janssen, Legend Biotech, Novartis, Sana, Umoja, Pfizer; research funding from Kite (Institutional), Allogene (Institutional), CERo Therapeutics

Copies of this presentation obtained through Quick Response (QR) code are for personal use only and may not be reproduced without permission from the author of this poster.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Assessed by multiplex immunohistochemistry. LBCL, large B-cell lymphoma.