Poster **P2088**

Real-World Safety Outcomes of Axicabtagene Ciloleucel in Patients With Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma and Follicular Lymphoma in Europe and United States: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Robin Sanderson, FRCPath, PhD¹; Javier Munoz, MD, MS, MBA, FACP²; Francis Ayuk, MD³; Francis Nissen, MD, PhD⁴; Eve H. Limbrick-Oldfield, PhD⁵; Oavid Wennersbusch, MPP⁵; Grace Lee, PharmD, MAS⁴; and Caron A. Jacobson, MD, MMSc⁶

¹King's College Hospital, London, UK; ²Mayo Clinic, Phoenix, USA; ³Department of Stem Cell Transplantation, University Medical Center Hamburg, Germany; ⁴Kite, a Gilead Company, Santa Monica, USA; ⁵RainCity Analytics, Vancouver, Canada; and ⁶Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, USA

BACKGROUND

- The volume of real-world evidence (RWE) of axicabtagene ciloleucel (axi-cel) safety has increased following its approvals in relapsed/refractory (R/R) large B-cell lymphoma (LBCL) and follicular lymphoma (FL)¹⁻⁴
- Notably, there has been a marked increase in large national registries in European settings reporting real-world safety data⁵⁻⁷
- Several recent RWE studies around the world have documented evolving adverse event management strategies³⁻⁸
- This growth in literature provided an opportunity to expand on a previous systematic literature review (SLR) of axi-cel, focusing on safety in its approved indications⁹

OBJECTIVE

• To report an updated SLR synthesizing RWE on axi-cel safety in R/R diffuse LBCL (DLBCL) and FL, quantifying evidence through meta-analyses between the United States (US) and Europe, and over time

METHODS

Systematic Literature Review

- Embase and MEDLINE were searched for eligible studies published in or after 2017, along with 10 conferences that were hand-searched for relevant abstracts
- Eligible studies included observational analyses of axi-cel in R/R DLBCL and/or R/R FL that reported safety outcomes
- Studies of clinical trial participants and case reports were excluded
- All publications were critically appraised by 2 independent reviewers and followed Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines¹⁰
- Cohort mapping involved combining publications from the same source (eg, a single center or registry) into the same patient cohort
- Data extraction was done in dual and independently
- Study quality was assessed using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS)¹⁷

Meta-Analyses

- Evidence was synthesized by region (US, Europe, and Other) and time (cohorts ending before vs starting in or after December 2019)
- Random effects were implemented using DerSimonian-Laird methods¹²
- Meta-analyses were conducted only on non-overlapping cohorts to avoid double counting of patients - If the same centers contributed to multiple cohorts, only the cohort with the larger sample size was included

RESULTS

- A total of 6972 records were identified (41 through hand searches); 206 publications on 73 cohorts were included in the final evidence base
- One cohort reporting a prognostic subgroup was removed from the analysis, with 72 cohorts remaining for the final analysis
- Most cohorts were retrospective cohort studies
- Almost all publications reported DLBCL cohorts, along with some more recent publications that
- included both DLBCL and FL; only 1 cohort had exclusively patients with FL
- Several recent full-text publications were from European registries
- US cohorts generally started earlier than those in Europe
- Overall, cohorts had high quality scores in selection and outcomes domains (score of 3 each on the NOS)
- Full-text publications or conference presentations were available for 54 cohorts, and only 18 cohorts had reports limited to abstracts
- The 72 patient cohorts included in the final analysis were primarily US based; the remaining cohorts were mostly in Europe, with a single cohort each in China and Canada (Figure 1)
- One study included patients from Israel and the US
- Several single-center cohorts overlapped with multicenter registry cohorts, with the highest level of overlap observed with the US-based CIBMTR registry

Figure 1. Distribution of Cohorts by Country Austria, Canada, China, Czech Republic, Netherlands

1 each International Italy_ US 44 France -Germany

UK, United Kingdom; US, United States.

RESULTS (Continued)

Table 1. Meta-Analysis of Patient Characteristics

	Europe		Uni	ited States	Other	
Characteristic (95% CI)	N Cohorts	Estimate	N Cohorts	Estimate	N Cohorts	Estimate
Males, %	7	62.9 (59.4-66.3)	2	63.2 (59.4-66.9)	2	59.0 (49.5-67.2)
Median age, years	9	58.3 (55.8-60.7)	2	62.1 (61.4-62.7)	2	57.0 (54.2-59.9)
Median follow-up, months	5	11.4 (9.4-13.3)	1	25.1 (24.8-25.4)	_	_
Median prior lines, n	8	2.6 (2.2-3.0)	2	3.5 (2.5-4.5)	1	2.0 (1.7-2.3)
Prior ASCT, %	8	23.5 (18.0-29.9)	2	19.6 (9.4-36.3)	2	23.0 (3.8-69.5)
High LDH, %	6	38.5 (18.8-62.9)	2	22.7 (13.8-35.0)	_	_
DLBCL, %	8	70.8 (60.8-79.1)	2	80.4 (77.8-82.8)	2	77.0 (66.5-84.8)
PMBCL, %	6	9.3 (4.6-17.8)	1	3.0 (2.2-4.1)	2	4.0 (1.8-10.1)
TFL, %	6	16.8 (8.7-30.2)	2	5.6 (0.4-48.0)	2	11.0 (1.4-50.4)
HGBL, %	1	13.8 (9.1-20.4)	1	16.2 (14.3-18.3)	1	7.0 (3.3-13.8)
Double/triple-hit disease, %	5	14.4 (7.6-25.7)	1	14.7 (13.0-16.7)	1	27.0 (10.4-53.3)
IPI ≥3, %	6	43.8 (37.3-50.5)	11	45.3 (35.2-55.9)	1	50.0 (40.9-60.1)
ECOG ≥2, %	6	9.6 (8.0-11.5)	1	4.4 (3.4-5.6)	1	31.0 (22.5-40.3)
Bridging therapy, % ^a	8	81.8 (76.3-86.3)	2	13.6 (5.0-32.1)	1	69.0 (59.7-77.5)
Median time from apheresis to infusion, days	5	37.8 (35.1-40.6)	2	27.6 (26.6-28.5)	1	21.0 (19.9-22.1)

Values accounted for likely patient overlap among cohorts

^a Rates reflect how bridging therapy was captured in each cohort. ASCT, autologous stem cell transplantation; DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; HGBL, high-grade B-cell lymphoma; IPI, International Prognostic Index; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; PMBCL, primary mediastinal B-cell lymphoma; TFL, transformed follicular lymphoma.

- Patient characteristics were largely consistent between US and European cohorts, with the following exceptions (**Table 1**)
- Median follow-up was numerically shorter in European cohorts vs those in the US (11.4 vs 25.1 months) - Median time from apheresis to infusion was numerically longer in Europe than in the US (37.8 vs 27.6 days), though within the range of the previous report⁹
- There was a shift toward a more clinically advanced population in the RWE studies than clinical trials of axi-cel, with inclusion of bridging therapy, allogeneic stem cell transplantation, and Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group score ≥2 being the most common factors that would have led to clinical trial ineligibility^{13,14}

Figure 2. Meta-Analysis of Grade ≥3 CRS by Geography

Cohort	Events	Sample Size)	Percent (95% CI)
Europe				
AT-CAR-T	2	34		6 (1-20)
Czech 5	0	15	•	0 (0-22)
DESCAR-T	11	209		5 (3-9)
Dutch CAR-T Consortium	7	145		5 (2-10)
GELTAMO-GETH	14	169		8 (5-14)
GLA/DRST	18	173		— 10 (6-16)
SIE	22	209		- 11 (7-16)
UK Registry	23	261		9 (6-13)
Random effects model		1215		8 (7-10)
US				
CIBMTR	117	1389		8 (7-10)
CIBMTR-2	43	707		6 (4-8)
Random effects model		2096		7 (5-10)
Other				
China Multi	16	105		15 (9-24)
CHU de Québec-UL	0	15	•	0 (0-22)
MSK and Sheba Medical Center	15	116		13 (7-20)
Random effects model		236		14 (10-19)
Overall				
Random effects model		3547		9 (7-10)
			0 5 10 19	5 20

CIBMTR-2 was a more recent cohort, with infusion dates not overlapping with CIBMTR AT-CAR-T, Austrian CAR-T Network; CAR-T, chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy; CHU de Québec-UL, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Québec-Université Laval; CIBMTR, Center for International Blood and Marrow Transplant Research; CRS, cytokine release syndrome; Czech 5, five treatment centers in Czechia; DESCAR-T, Dispositif d'Enregistrement et Suivi des patients traités par CAR-T; GELTAMO-GETH, Grupo Español de Trasplante Hematopoyético y Terapia Celular; GLA/DRST, German Lymphoma Alliance/Deutsches Register für Stammzelltransplantation; MSK, Memorial Sloan Kettering; SIE, Societa Italiana di Ematologia; UK, United Kingdom; US, United States.

- Estimated incidence of any grade cytokine release syndrome (CRS) was 88% (95% CI, 85-91) for Europe and 82% (95% CI, 81-84) for the US
- Grade \geq 3 CRS was estimated at 8% (95% CI, 7-10) for Europe and 7% (95% CI, 5-10) for the US (**Figure 2**) • The rate of Grade ≥3 CRS numerically reduced from 11% (95% CI, 7-16) before December 2019 to 8% (95% CI, 5-12) afterward

Percent

Cohort	Events	Sample Size		Percent (95% CI
Europe				
AT-CAR-T	5	34		15 (5-31
Czech 5	3	15		20 (4-48
DESCAR-T	29	209		14 (9-19
Dutch CAR-T Consortium	32	145		22 (16-30
GELTAMO-GETH	31	169		18 (13-2
GLA/DRST	28	173		16 (11-23
SIE	27	209		13 (9-18
UK Registry	55	261		21 (16-27
Random effects model		1215		17 (15-20
US				
CIBMTR	357	1389		26 (23-28
CIBMTR-2	143	664		22 (18-2
Random effects model		2053		24 (20-28
Other				
CHU de Québec-UL	2	15 —		- 13 (2-40
MSK and Sheba Medical Cen	ter 31	116		27 (19-36
Random effects model		131		24 (15-37
Overall				
Random effects model		3399		20 (17-23
		0000	10 20 30 4	ער 20 (17 ר 10
			Percent	

CIBMTR-2 was a more recent cohort, with infusion dates not overlapping with CIBMTR AT-CAR-T, Austrian CAR-T Network; CAR-T, chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy; CHU de Québec-UL, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Québec-Université Laval; CIBMTR, Center for International Blood and Marrow Transplant Research: Czech 5. five treatment centers in Czechia: DESCAR-T, Dispositif d'Enregistrement et Suivi des patients traités par CAR-T; GELTAMO-GETH, Grupo Español de Trasplante Hematopoyético y Terapia Celular; GLA/DRST, German Lymphoma Alliance/Deutsches Register für Stammzelltransplantation; ICANS, immune effector cell-associated neurotoxicity syndrome; MSK, Memorial Sloan Kettering; SIE, Societa Italiana di Ematologia; UK, United Kingdom; US, United States.

 Estimated incidence of any grade immune effector cell-associated neurotoxicity syndrome (ICANS) was 47% (95% CI, 41-53) for Europe and 50% (95% CI, 40-60) for the US

• The incidence of Grade ≥3 ICANS was numerically lower in Europe (17% [95% CI, 15-20]) than the US (24% [95% Cl, 20-28]) (**Figure 3**)

The estimates for Grade ≥3 ICANS for both the US and Europe were within the range of the ZUMA-1 rates^{13,15}

• Grade ≥3 ICANS numerically reduced after December 2019 from 24% (95% CI, 17-33) to 20% (95% CI, 16-25)

Figure 4. Meta-Analysis of Prolonged Grade ≥3 Neutropenia by Geography

Cohort	Events	Sample Size		Percent (95% CI)
Europe				
DESCAR-T	53	209 —	-	25 (20-32)
Glasgow	6	13 —		46 (19-75)
SIE	53	209 —		25 (20-32)
Random effects model		431 🚽		26 (22-30)
US				
Standford Cancer Institute	23	40		57 (41-73)
US PNW3	31	65		48 (35-60)
Random effects model		105		51 (42-61)
Other				
CHU de Québec-UL	6	15 ——		— 40 (16-68)
Overall				
Random effects model		551		38 (27-51)
		20	30 40 50 60	70
			Percent	. •

Prolonged neutropenias were those present at or after 1 month post-infusion (Day 28 or 30).

CHU de Québec-UL, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Québec-Université Laval; DESCAR-T, Dispositif d'Enregistrement et Suivi des patients traités par CAR-T; PNW3, Seattle Cancer Care Alliance, and Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center; SIE, Societa Italiana di Ematologia; US, United States. • Estimated incidence of any grade prolonged neutropenia in Europe was 47% (95% CI, 31-63;

US-based data were not available)

• Estimated incidence of Grade \geq 3 prolonged neutropenia (present at or after 1 month post-infusion) was higher in the US (51% [95% CI, 42-61]) than in Europe (26% [95% CI, 22-30]; Figure 4)

• A similar trend between regions was observed with thrombocytopenia and anemia

Presented at the 2024 European Hematology Association Annual Congress

Figure 5. Meta-Analysis of Corticosteroid Use by Geography

Cohort	Events	Sample Size	!	Percent (95% CI)
Europe				
Dutch CAR-T Consortium	93	145		64 (56-72)
Lyon Sud	13	28		46 (28-66)
SIE	60	209 -		29 (23-35)
UK Registry	115	261		44 (38-50)
Random effects model		643		45 (31-61)
US				
CIBMTR	628	1297		48 (46-51)
Other				
China Multi	57	105		54 (44-64)
CHU de Québec-UL	13	15		- 87 (60-98)
Random effects model		120		70 (32-92)
Overall				
Random effects model		2060		50 (39-62)
			30 40 50 60 70 80 90	
			Percent	

CAR-T, chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy; CHU de Québec-UL, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Québec-Université Laval; CIBMTR, Center for International Blood and Marrow Transplant Research; SIE, Societa Italiana di Ematologia; UK, United Kingdom; US, United States.

• Rates of tocilizumab use in Europe vs US were 71% (95% CI, 67-74) vs 58% (95% CI, 55-61)

- Corticosteroid use was 45% (95% CI, 31-61) in Europe vs 48% (95% CI, 46-51) in the US (**Figure 5**) Tocilizumab use was consistent before and after December 2019 (70% [95% CI, 59-78] vs 72%
- [95% CI, 61-81]), while steroid use numerically increased (54% [95% CI, 41-67] vs 67% [95% CI, 56-77])
- Rates of intensive care unit admission were 20% (95% CI, 14-28) in Europe and 24% (95% CI, 15-37) in the US

CONCLUSIONS

- RWE of axi-cel in patients with R/R DLBCL and FL was robust, with a marked increase in quantity and quality from Europe since the prior analysis⁹
- Overall, safety was manageable and consistent between regions and with clinical trials¹³⁻¹⁵
- Evolving management in the real world may have correlated with improved safety over time

REFERENCES

- 1. YESCARTA[®] (axicabtagene ciloleucel) Prescribing
- information. Kite Pharma, Inc; 2024.
- 2. YESCARTA® (axicabtagene ciloleucel) [summary of product characteristics]. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Kite Pharma EU B.V.: 2024.
- 3. Ghione P. et al. *Blood*. 2022;140:851-860.
- 4. Neelapu SS, et al. *Blood Adv*. 2021;5:4149-4155. 5. Kwon M, et al. ASH 2021. Abstract 1742.
- 6. Bethge WA, et al. *Blood*. 2022;140:349-358.
- 7. Kuhnl A, et al. Br J Haematol. 2022;198:492-502
- 8. Bachy E, et al. Nat Med. 2022;28:2145-2154.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

- 9. Jacobson C, et al. Transplant Cell Ther. 2024;30:77. e1-77.e15.
- 10. Moher D, et al. Brit Med J. 2009;339:b2535 11. Wells GS, et al. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses. Accessed March 28, 2024. http://www. ohri.ca/programs/clinical epidemiology/oxford.asp
- 12. DerSimonian R, Laird N. Control Clin Trials. 1986:7:177-188.
- 13. Neelapu SS, et al. N Engl J Med. 2017;377:2531-2544.
- 14. Jacobson CA, et al. Lancet Oncol. 2022;23:91-103. 15. Oluwole OO, et al. Br J Haematol. 2021;194:690-700.
- We thank Steve Kanters, MSc, PhD; Leah Yang, MPP; and Alana Stilla, MSc, of the RainCity Analytics project team and Timothy Best, PhD, of Kite Global Medical Affairs for their contributions to the analysis and presentation
- Medical writing assistance was provided by Danielle Fanslow, PhD, of Nexus Global Group Science, LLC, funded by Kite, a Gilead Company
- This study was funded by Kite, a Gilead Company

DISCLOSURES

• **RS:** honoraria from, speakers' bureau participation for, and travel support from Kite, a Gilead Company and Novartis. • Full author disclosures are available through the Quick Response (QR) code

Copies of this presentation obtained through QR code are for personal use only and may not be reproduced without permission from the author of this poster.



FULL AUTHOR DISCLOSURES

RS: honoraria from, speakers' bureau participation for, and travel support from Kite, a Gilead Company and Novartis. **JM:** honoraria from Curio Science, Kyowa Kirin, OncView™, Physicians' Education Resource, Seagen, and Targeted Oncology; consulting/advisory role for ADC Therapeutics, Alexion, Bayer, BeiGene, Bristol Myers Squibb, Debiopharm, Epizyme, Fosun Kite, a Gilead Company, Genmab, Innovent, Janssen, Juno/Celgene, Karyopharm, Kite, a Gilead Company, Kyowa Kirin, Lilly/Loxo, MEI, MorphoSys/Incyte, Novartis, Pfizer, Pharmacyclics/AbbVie, Seagen, Servier, TG Therapeutics, and Zodiac; speakers' bureau participation for Acrotech/Aurobindo, AstraZeneca, Bayer, BeiGene, Celgene/Bristol Myers Squibb, Genentech/Roche, Kite, a Gilead Company, Kyowa Kirin, Pharmacyclics/Janssen, Seagen, and Verastem; and research funding from Bayer, Celgene, Genentech, Incyte, Janssen, Kite, a Gilead Company, Merck, Millennium, Pharmacyclics, Portola, and Seagen. FA: honoraria from BMS/Celgene, Kite, a Gilead Company, Mallinckrodt, Medac, and Miltenyi; consulting role for BMS/Celgene, Miltenyi, and Kite, a Gilead Company. **FN:** employment with Kite, a Gilead Company; previous employment with Roche; and stock or other ownership in Gilead Sciences and Roche. FS: employment with Kite, a Gilead Company; and stock or other ownership in Gilead Sciences. EL-O: employment with RainCity Analytics. **DW:** employment with RainCity Analytics. **GL:** employment with Kite, a Gilead Company; prior consultant at Medical Affairs 360; and stock or other ownership in Gilead Sciences. CAJ: consulting/advisory role for AbbVie, Abintus Bio, ADC Therapeutics, Bristol Myers Squibb/Celgene, Caribou Biosciences, Daiichi Sankyo, ImmPACT Bio, Instil Bio, Ipsen, Kite, a Gilead Company, Miltenyi, MorphoSys, Novartis, and Synthekine; and research funding from Kite, a Gilead Company and Pfizer.